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#### Abstract

We consider twist-3 operators in the $\mathfrak{s l}(2)$ sector of $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM built out of three scalar fields with derivatives. We extract from the Bethe Ansatz equations of this sector the exact lowest anomalous dimension $\gamma(s)$ of scaling fields for several values of the operator spin $s$. We propose compact closed expressions for the spin dependence of $\gamma(s)$ up to the four loop level and show that they obey a simple new twist-3 transcendentality principle. As a check, we reproduce the four loop universal cusp anomalous dimension governing the logarithmic large spin limit of $\gamma(s)$.
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Integrability in QCD is an old intriguing issue (see [1] for a recent review). Certainly, QCD is not an integrable quantum field theory. However, in the planar multicolor 't Hooft limit, new special features emerge due to the simplified dynamics. For instance, it is known that the one-loop renormalization group flow of certain sets of composite operators is associated with integrable XXX lattice Hamiltonians with $\mathfrak{s l}(2)$ spin symmetry [2]. The evolution time in the integrable model is the (logarithm of the) renormalization scale at which we define the composite operators. The integrable Hamiltonian is identified with the quantum dilatation operator. Its eigenvalues are the anomalous dimensions of the scaling fields. Several explicit examples can be found in [3-8, (10].

Inspired by the parallel developments of AdS/CFT duality [6], the integrability properties of large $N$ gauge theories have been deeply investigated in supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories. In this paper, we shall work in the context of the maximally supersymmetric $\mathcal{N}=4$ super Yang-Mills theory which is UV finite and superconformally invariant at the
quantum level. In the analysis, we shall always understand the planar limit. Due to the possible interdisciplinary interest of our investigation, we briefly overview the main logical developments of integrability in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM.

The first positive results are described in the seminal paper (11. The one loop dilatation operator is computed in a closed $\mathfrak{s o}(6)$ sector of scalar operators. It can be identified with the Hamiltonian of an integrable lattice model. Soon, the integrable structure could be extended to the full set of $\mathfrak{p s u}(2,2 \mid 4)$ operators. Also, it appeared clear that integrability could work beyond one loop, with the coupling constant being a deformation parameter for the superconformal algebra representation (12)- 15 .

These investigations suggested that $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM could admit an all loop solution for the renormalization flow of its composite operators. The reason for this peculiar internal integrability is the strong constraint imposed by the unbroken superconformal symmetry. Also, in agreement with AdS/CFT duality, the symmetry is further enhanced at tree level to the higher spin algebra $\mathfrak{h s}(2,2 \mid 4)$. Its multiplets obey intricate recombination rules under the interacting subalgebra $\mathfrak{p s u}(2,2 \mid 4)$ [16].

The analysis of the dilatation operators was further extended in [17], computing the three loop dilatation operator in the $\mathfrak{s u}(2 \mid 3)$ subsector of the theory. This remarkable achievement has been obtained algebraically by exploiting the superconformal invariance instead of direct Feynman diagram calculations. The $\mathfrak{s u}(2 \mid 3)$ sector includes closed smaller subsectors like the bosonic $\mathfrak{s u}(2)$ and the fermionic $\mathfrak{s u}(1 \mid 1)$, but not the non-compact $\mathfrak{s l}(2)$ containing the twist operators.

As is common in the context of integrable models, the basic object is not the Hamiltonian, but the $S$-matrix for elementary excitations. Integrability means that scattering processes are elastic and factorized. To a large extent, the two body scattering matrix allows to reconstruct the full dynamics. Once we know the $S$-matrix, we can write down Bethe Ansatz equations that determine the allowed quantum numbers and momenta of the elementary excitations. From the solutions of these equations we extract the spectrum of the integrable Hamiltonian, i.e. the anomalous dimensions in the gauge theory.

Therefore, as soon as integrability was recognized as an essential feature of $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM, a new investigation route started with the aim of computing higher order Bethe Ansatz equations bypassing the explicit construction of the dilatation operator. For instance, a remarkable result was obtained in 18] where the three loop Bethe Ansatz equations were determined in the $\mathfrak{s u}(2) \subset \mathfrak{s u}(2 \mid 3)$ bosonic sector.

Here and in the following, the Bethe Ansatz equations that we shall discuss will always be asymptotic. This means that their perturbative expansion predicts the correct anomalous dimension of the operator $\mathcal{O}$ up to a finite loop order $\mathcal{O}\left(g^{2 L}\right)$. The number $L$ depends in a controlled way on the number of elementary fields in $\mathcal{O}$. The dilatation operator, seen as a spin chain Hamiltonian, contains long-range interactions up to a distance growing with the perturbative order. The number of fields in $\mathcal{O}$ determines the length of the spin chain and, beyond a certain order, wrapping problems appear invalidating the Bethe Ansatz equations.

Due the simplicity of the $\mathfrak{s u}(2)$ sector, it was possible to compute the three loop dilatation operator and its Bethe Ansatz equations at the five loops level 19]. This remarkable
result was also confirmed for many operators by standard Feynman diagram calculations at three loops [20]. The Bethe Ansatz and $S$-matrix approach culminated in the work [21]. A clever combination of gauge/string theory arguments allowed to conjecture the three loop Bethe Ansatz equations for the other rank- 1 closed subsectors $\mathfrak{s u}(1 \mid 1)$ and $\mathfrak{s l}(2)$ without computing the dilatation operator.

From our point of view, the paper [21] is crucial because it started the investigation of the non-compact $\mathfrak{s l}(2)$ sector. As a check of the proposed Bethe Ansatz equations, a few explicit two loops calculation have been performed in [22] using superspace perturbation theory. Also, the two loop dilatation operator has been constructed algebraically in the $\mathfrak{s u}(1,1 \mid 2) \supset \mathfrak{s l}(2)$ sector [23]. In all cases, the Bethe equations of [21] were fully confirmed.

The three loop $S$-matrix in the $\mathfrak{s l}(2)$ sector is an important bridge toward QCD. Based on three loop QCD calculations [24] and inspired by one and two loop results in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM [25, [26], Kotikov, Lipatov, Onishchenko and Velizhanin (KLOV) conjectured a three loop prediction for the anomalous dimension of $\mathcal{N}=4$ twist-2 superconformal operators at generic spin in [27]. The prediction is based on what is now called the maximum transcendentality principle.

This prediction is perfectly matched by the perturbative expansion of the $\mathfrak{s l}(2)$ Bethe Ansatz equations. Notice that at twist-2 all conformal operators fall in a single supermultiplet (see [28-30]). With more complicated operators this is not necessarily true. For instance, the paper [31] studies the two loop dilatation in $\mathcal{N}=1,2,4$ SYM for Wilson operators with 3 quark/gaugino fields and derivatives. This is not the $\mathfrak{s l}(2)$ sector that we are discussing and that is built with the holomorphic scalar fields of $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM.

As a technical remark, the agreement between [21] and the KLOV prediction is somewhat beyond the regime of applicability of the Bethe equations as it would follow from wrapping considerations. This subtle point is related to superconformal symmetry as explained in the later works [32, 33]. Wrapping problems are expected to appear at $L+2$ loop order for twist- $L$ operators.

Soon, it was realized that the wrapping barrier could be overcome by considering the large spin limit of anomalous dimensions. In this limit, the leading contribution to the smallest anomalous dimension scales logarithmically with the spin $s$ and is universal with respect to twist (34]. The coefficient of $\log s$ is a non-trivial function of the coupling, the cusp anomalous dimension (a.k.a. scaling function) 35-37].

Since wrapping problems do not affect the calculation of the scaling function, a possibility opened for its all-loop calculation from the Bethe Ansatz equations. The first attempt in this direction is described in [38]. In that work, the $\mathfrak{s l}(2)$ Bethe equations are put on a more solid basis, by a field theoretical calculation of the two loop dilatation operator. This calculation confirmed that it is diagonalized by the Bethe Ansatz equations of 21. Then, an integral equation was proposed to determine at all loops the scaling function, the Eden-Staudacher (ES) equation. The four loop ES prediction is in agreement with the maximum transcendentality principle. However, it is potentially sensitive to the effects of a missing crucial piece in the Bethe Ansatz analysis, namely the dressing factor [39]. It is an Abelian phase which is not constrained by superconformal symmetry and enters the perturbative expansion of anomalous dimensions precisely at four loops.

Although, at first, the appearance of the dressing factor is rather discouraging, AdS/CFT duality comes to rescue. The $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM theory has a $A d S_{5} \times S^{5}$ string counterpart which is also classically integrable (and to some extent also at the quantum level) and where an all-order strong coupling expansion for the dressing is known. This is made possible by a combination of semiclassical string calculations and string integrability considerations, like crossing symmetry 40-45.

By an impressive insight, Beisert, Eden and Staudacher proposed in [46] an all-order weak-coupling expansion of the dressing phase. The modified integral equation for the scaling function now predicted a four loop contribution in analytical form still in agreement with the KLOV maximum transcendentality principle, but quantitatively different than the prediction of [38]. In a synchronized but independent fashion, a remarkable fourloop Feynman diagram calculation appeared (47] providing a numerical expression for this contribution in full agreement with the result of [46]. The numerical agreement was later significantly improved in 48].

More recently, there have been additional important developments aimed at a deeper understanding of the dressing factor 49-52. In this paper, we shall dwell on the results of 46 to compute certain 4 loop contributions.

A complementary approach to integrable systems (closely related to the Bethe Ansatz) is based on the Baxter $Q$-operator [53]. In this framework, the two loop dilatation operator in $\mathcal{N}=2,4$ SYM for Wilson operators with scalars and derivatives (our $\mathfrak{s l}(2)$ sector) as well as the two loop Baxter operator are computed in 54. The all-loop asymptotic generalization of the Baxter equation in the same sector also appeared in (55]. All-loop extensions to the larger $\mathfrak{s l}(2 \mid 1)$ sector have been recently published in [56] .

In this paper, we keep working in the $\mathfrak{s l}(2)$ sector of $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM and study twist-3 operators extracting from the Bethe Ansatz equations the (lowest) anomalous dimension. For these operators, the Bethe Ansatz equations plus dressing are expected to be reliable up to 4 loops, even at finite spin. We shall show that, for even spin, the minimal anomalous dimension is associated with an unpaired operator and the non-dressing part of it has rational contributions up to 4 loops.

We shall be able to provide closed expressions for the various perturbative contributions at finite spin $s$, including the dressing part at four loops. As a non trivial check, we shall recover the universal four loop cusp anomalous dimension.

The detailed plan of the paper is as follows. Section (1) is devoted to a brief overview of the main integrability facts in $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM with some discussion of the links with QCD integrability. Section (2) describes the relevant Bethe equations. Section (3) is devoted to the detailed presentation of our analysis on the twist-3 operators. A self-contained appendix contains some technical information about nested harmonic sums, which are the basic element entering our proposed closed formulae for anomalous dimensions at finite spin.

## 2. The $\mathfrak{s l}(2)$ sector of $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM

### 2.1 The Bethe Ansatz equations

The $\mathfrak{s l}(2)$ sector of planar $\mathcal{N}=4 \mathrm{SYM}$ contains single trace states which are linear combinations of the basic operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left\{\left(\mathcal{D}^{s_{1}} Z\right) \cdots\left(\mathcal{D}^{s_{L}} Z\right)\right\}, \quad s_{1}+\cdots+s_{L}=s, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z$ is one of the three complex scalar fields and $\mathcal{D}$ is a light-cone covariant derivative. The numbers $\left\{s_{i}\right\}$ are non-negative integers and $s$ is the total spin. The number $L$ of $Z$ fields is the twist of the operator, i.e. the classical dimension minus the spin. The subsector of states with fixed spin and twist is perturbatively closed under renormalization mixing.

At one-loop, the dilatation operator in this sector maps to an integrable spin chain with $L$ spins transforming according to the $s=-1$ infinite dimensional $\mathfrak{s l}(2)$ representation [13]. Beyond one loop, the work [21] proposed asymptotic all-order Bethe Ansatz equations. As a check, a few explicit two loops calculation have been performed in 22] using superspace perturbation theory. Also, the two loop dilatation operator has been constructed algebraically in the $\mathfrak{s u}(1,1 \mid 2) \supset \mathfrak{s l}(2)$ sector [23]. It has also been evaluated in the $\mathfrak{s l}(2)$ by Feynman diagram calculations in [38]. In all cases, the Bethe equations of 21] were confirmed. Wrapping problems are delayed by supersymmetry and appear at $L+2$ loop order for twist- $L$ operators [32, 33] (also, N. Beisert and M. Staudacher, private communication).

The anomalous dimensions of scaling combinations of states eq. (2.1) are the eigenvalues $\gamma_{L}(s ; g)$ of the dilatation operator/integrable Hamiltonian where our definition for the planar coupling is

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{2}=\frac{g_{\mathrm{YM}}^{2} N}{8 \pi^{2}}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N$ is the number of colors. The coupling $g$ is kept fixed as $N \rightarrow \infty$. These anomalous dimensions $\gamma_{L}(s ; g)$ are obtained by solving perturbatively the Bethe Ansatz equations, provided we are in the wrapping-free cases.

The Bethe Ansatz equations determine $s$ real Bethe roots $\left\{u_{k}\right\}_{1 \leq k \leq s}$ and read

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{x_{k}^{+}}{x_{k}^{-}}\right)^{L}=\prod_{j \neq k}^{s} \frac{x_{k}^{-}-x_{j}^{+}}{x_{k}^{+}-x_{j}^{-}} \frac{1-\frac{g^{2}}{2 x_{k}^{+} x_{j}^{-}}}{1-\frac{g^{2}}{2 x_{k}^{-} x_{j}^{+}}}, \quad x_{k}^{ \pm}=x\left(u_{k} \pm \frac{i}{2}\right), \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have defined the maps

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(u)=\frac{u}{2}\left(1+\sqrt{1-\frac{2 g^{2}}{u^{2}}}\right), \quad u(x)=x+\frac{g^{2}}{2 x} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relevant solutions of eq. (2.3) are those obeying the constraint $\prod_{k=1}^{s}\left(x_{k}^{+} / x_{k}^{-}\right)=1$ needed to project onto cyclic states associated with single-trace operators in the gauge
theory. Given a solution of Bethe Ansatz equations, we obtain the anomalous dimension from the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{L}(s ; g)=g^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{s}\left(\frac{i}{x_{i}^{+}}-\frac{i}{x_{i}^{-}}\right) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Often, the Bethe Ansatz equations are presented in a different, equivalent form, more suitable for our later discussion. We introduce the Bethe momenta $\left\{p_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq s}$ which are related to the Bethe roots by the transformations

$$
\begin{align*}
& p(u)=-i \log \frac{x^{+}(u)}{x^{-}(u)},  \tag{2.6}\\
& u(p)=\frac{1}{2} \cot \frac{p}{2} \sqrt{1+8 g^{2} \sin ^{2} \frac{p}{2}} . \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

The $x^{ \pm}$combinations appearing in the Bethe equations have the following expression in terms of the $p_{i}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{ \pm}(p)=\frac{e^{ \pm \frac{i p}{2}}}{4 \sin \frac{p}{2}}\left(1+\sqrt{1+8 g^{2} \sin ^{2} \frac{p}{2}}\right) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, the anomalous dimension reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{L}(s ; g)=\sum_{k=1}^{s}\left(\sqrt{1+8 g^{2} \sin ^{2} \frac{p_{k}}{2}}-1\right) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that in this form, the anomalous dimension is obtained as a sum of single quasiparticle energies with a definite dispersion relation.

The Bethe equations admit several solutions that describe different scaling operators. The different solutions are selected by taking the logarithm of the Bethe equations and choosing a determination for the branch. This is known as choosing the mode/Bethe numbers of the state. In the following analysis we shall study the minimal anomalous dimension, i.e. the ground state of the integrable spin chain, for reasons that shall become clear in the discussion. The solution describing the ground state has known mode numbers at any twist [38].

Starting from the 4 -loop level, the Bethe equations eqs. (2.3) must be modified including a universal Abelian dressing phase. In other words, beyond three loops, the correct equations are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{x_{k}^{+}}{x_{k}^{-}}\right)^{L}=\prod_{j \neq k}^{s} \frac{x_{k}^{-}-x_{j}^{+}}{x_{k}^{+}-x_{j}^{-}} \frac{1-\frac{g^{2}}{x_{k}^{+} x_{j}^{-}}}{1-\frac{g^{2}}{x_{k}^{-} x_{j}^{+}}} e^{2 i \vartheta_{k j}}, \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\vartheta_{k j}=\mathcal{O}\left(g^{6}\right)$. The general perturbative expansion of the dressing phase takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vartheta_{k j}=\sum_{r \geq 2} \sum_{\nu \geq 0} \sum_{\mu \geq \nu}\left(\frac{g^{2}}{2}\right)^{r+\nu+\mu} \beta_{r, r+1+2 \nu}^{(r+\nu+\mu)}\left[q_{r}\left(p_{k}\right) q_{r+1+2 \nu}\left(p_{j}\right)-(k \leftrightarrow j)\right], \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the higher order charges $q_{r}(p)$ have the expression 19

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{r}(p)=\frac{2 \sin \left(\frac{r-1}{2} p\right)}{r-1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+8 g^{2} \sin ^{2} \frac{p}{2}}-1}{2 g^{2} \sin \frac{p}{2}}\right)^{r-1} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the non trivial coefficient being $\beta_{2,3}^{(3)} \neq 0$.
The proposed formula for the coefficients $\beta_{a, b}^{(c)}$ is given in 46] (see also 55, 59] for related explorations) and reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{r, r+1+2 \nu}^{(r+\nu+\mu)}=2(-1)^{r+\mu+1} \frac{(r-1)(r+2 \nu)}{2 \mu+1}\binom{2 \mu+1}{\mu-r-\nu+1}\binom{2 \mu+1}{\mu-\nu} \zeta(2 \mu+1) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and zero if $\mu-r-\nu+1<0$. In particular, for the leading order 4-loop correction we have

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \vartheta_{k j} & =\beta\left(q_{2}^{(0)}\left(p_{k}\right) q_{3}^{(0)}\left(p_{j}\right)-q_{2}^{(0)}\left(p_{j}\right) q_{3}^{(0)}\left(p_{k}\right)\right) g^{6}+\mathcal{O}\left(g^{8}\right)  \tag{2.14}\\
q_{2}^{(0)}(p) & =4 \sin ^{2} \frac{p}{2}  \tag{2.15}\\
q_{3}^{(0)}(p) & =4 \sin ^{2} \frac{p}{2} \sin p \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{2,3}^{(3)}=4 \beta, \quad \beta=\zeta_{3} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.2 Extracting the perturbative anomalous dimensions, the twist-2 case

Let us fix the twist. For a given spin, we can start by solving numerically the one-loop Bethe Ansatz equations (see later for more analytical information on this step). Then, it is straightforward to work out the perturbative expansion of the all-order equations. In this way we obtain numerical values for the various loop contributions to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{L}(s ; g)=\sum_{n \geq 1} \gamma_{L}^{(n)}(s) g^{2 n} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

If these coefficients are rational, we can identify them unambiguously by working with a very large number of digits.

Actually, in many integrable systems, there is an alternative approach based on the $Q$ Baxter operator 53]. One build a polynomial $Q(u)$ whose roots are the Bethe roots. This polynomial satisfies a recurrence equation that determines it completely, once the quantum numbers of the desired state are chosen. From the (analytical) knowledge of $Q(u)$, it is possible to obtain the Hamiltonian eigenvalues without resorting to finite precision numerical calculations.

At twist-2, the one loop Baxter operator is known in closed form and the Baxter equation is also known up to three loops. The Bethe Ansatz and Baxter method of course agree as we checked up to spin $s=68$. The resulting anomalous dimensions agrees with
the KLOV three loop prediction

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma_{2, s}^{(1)}= 4 S_{1}  \tag{2.19}\\
& \gamma_{2, s}^{(2)}=-4( \left.S_{3}+S_{-3}-2 S_{-2,1}+2 S_{1}\left(S_{2}+S_{-2}\right)\right) \\
& \gamma_{2, s}^{(3)}=-8\left(2 S_{-3} S_{2}-S_{5}-2 S_{-2} S_{3}-3 S_{-5}+24 S_{-2,1,1,1}\right. \\
&+6\left(S_{-4,1}+S_{-3,2}+S_{-2,3}\right)-12\left(S_{-3,1,1}+S_{-2,1,2}+S_{-2,2,1}\right) \\
& \quad-\left(S_{2}+2 S_{1}^{2}\right)\left(3 S_{-3}+S_{3}-2 S_{-2,1}\right)-S_{1}\left(8 S_{-4}+S_{-2}^{2}\right. \\
&\left.\left.+4 S_{2} S_{-2}+2 S_{2}^{2}+3 S_{4}-12 S_{-3,1}-10 S_{-2,2}+16 S_{-2,1,1}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

In the above expressions, all the $S$ functions are harmonic functions (see appendix A) evaluated at the argument $s$, the spin

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathbf{a}} \equiv S_{\mathbf{a}}(s) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

This check is not surprising and extend the checks already performed in [21].

## 3. The $\mathfrak{s l}(2)$ sector at twist-3

The same approach can be applied to the twist-3 case. Here, the one loop anomalous dimension $\gamma_{3}^{(1)}(s)$ is known from the analysis of [14] (see also some conjectures in 16]). In particular, for even spin, the ground state of the integrable Hamiltonian is an unpaired state.

At twist-3, the Bethe equations are believed to be reliable up to four loops. This is beyond the range of applicability of the known Baxter equation that stops at three loops. So, we have computed $\gamma_{3}^{(1,2,3)}(s)$ by both methods up to $s=68$ and $\gamma_{3}^{(4)}(s)$ in the same range by the Bethe equations only.

This means that we have computed $\gamma_{3}^{(n)}(s)$ for $n=1,2,3,4$ and $s<70$ in analytical form as rational numbers plus, at four loop, a transcendental dressing contribution. The limit $s<70$ is fixed by computer limitations that appear when the result is sought in analytical form. At $s=68$ and four loops we need several thousands of digits. Of course, if a numerical result is enough, it is possible to push quite further the calculation as we shall discuss later.

In the following sections, we shall first present new exact results for the twist-3 Baxter operator at one loop, as well as all the details of the Baxter three loop calculation.

### 3.1 The one-loop Baxter operator at twist-3

We follow the notation of [34]. The one-loop Baxter equation at twist-3 reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u+\frac{i}{2}\right)^{3} Q_{3}(u+i)+\left(u-\frac{i}{2}\right)^{3} Q_{3}(u-i)=t_{3}(u) Q_{3}(u) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
t_{3}(u) & =2 u^{3}+q_{2} u+q_{3}  \tag{3.2}\\
q_{2} & =-\left(s+\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(s+\frac{1}{2}\right)-\frac{3}{4} \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $s$ is the (even) spin. The Baxter operator $Q(u)$ is a polynomial of degree $s$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{3}(u)=\sum_{n=0}^{s} a_{n} u^{n}, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose roots are the Bethe roots. Replacing $Q_{3}$ in the Baxter equation we obtain a homogeneous linear problem in the coefficients $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$. The parameter $q_{3}$ is a quantum number. It appears in the linear problem as an eigenvalue. For the lowest state, $q_{3}=0$. Also, the Baxter polynomial turns out to be even under $u \rightarrow-u$.

The eigenvector associated with $q_{3}=0$ determines $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ and hence $Q_{3}$ up to an irrelevant scaling factor. Given the roots $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ of the Baxter polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{3}(u)=\mathcal{N} \cdot \prod_{n=1}^{s}\left(u-u_{n}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can compute the one-loop anomalous dimension by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{3}^{(1)}(s)=\sum_{n=1}^{s} \frac{1}{u_{n}^{2}+1 / 4}=2 Q_{3}^{\prime}\left(-\frac{i}{2}\right) . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that the last expression does not require the knowledge of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$, but just $Q_{3}(u)$.
For example, at $s=2$ we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{3}(u) \sim(2 u+1)(2 u-1), \quad u= \pm \frac{1}{2}, \quad \gamma_{3}^{(1)}(2)=4 . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $s=4$ we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{3}(u) \sim 11-72 u^{2}+48 u^{4}, \quad u= \pm \sqrt{\frac{3}{4} \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}}, \quad \gamma_{3}^{(1)}(4)=6 . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, with some trial and error and guided by a comment about Wilson polynomials in 34, we found the following closed solution to the Baxter equation

$$
Q_{3}(u)=\mathcal{N}_{4} F_{3}\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\frac{s}{2}, \frac{s}{2}+1, \frac{1}{2}+i u, \frac{1}{2}-i u  \tag{3.9}\\
1,1,1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Then, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{3}^{(1)}(s)=2 Q_{3}^{\prime}\left(-\frac{i}{2}\right)=s\left(\frac{s}{2}+1\right){ }_{4} F_{3}\binom{1,1,1-\frac{s}{2}, 2+\frac{s}{2} ; 1}{2,2,2}=\cdots=4 S_{1}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result is new and is in perfect agreement with the empirical conjecture of [14].

### 3.2 The three loop Baxter equation at twist-3

The Baxter equation at twist-3 valid up to three loops is described in [54]. Let $Q(u)$ be the Baxter polynomial. For simplicity, we omit the twist label. $Q$ can be loop expanded in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(u)=Q^{(0)}(u)+g^{2} Q^{(1)}(u)+g^{4} Q^{(2)}(u)+\cdots \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The polynomial $Q^{(0)}(u)$ has degree $s$ and is $Q^{(0)}=Q_{3}$ defined in eq. (3.9). The polynomials $Q^{(k)}(u), k=1,2$ have degree $s-2$ and, for the ground state, are also even under $u \rightarrow-u$. Hence, they have the general form

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{(k)}(u)=\sum_{n=0}^{s / 2-1} c_{n}^{(k)} u^{2 n} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The three loop Baxter equation reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{+}\left[x\left(u+\frac{i}{2}\right)\right] Q(u+i)+\Delta_{-}\left[x\left(u-\frac{i}{2}\right)\right] Q(u-i)=t(u) Q(u) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have defined again

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(u)=\frac{u}{2}\left(1+\sqrt{1-\frac{2 g^{2}}{u^{2}}}\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{ \pm}(x) & =x^{3} \exp \left\{-\frac{g^{2}}{x}(\log Q(z))_{z= \pm \frac{i}{2}}^{\prime}-\frac{g^{4}}{4 x^{2}}\left[(\log Q(z))_{z= \pm \frac{i}{2}}^{\prime \prime}+x(\log Q(z))_{z= \pm \frac{i}{2}}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right]\right\}  \tag{3.15}\\
t(u) & =\sqrt{\Delta_{+}(x(u)) \Delta_{-}(x(u))}\left[2+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{q_{n}(g)}{(x(u))^{n}}\right] \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

The charges $q_{n}$ have a weak-coupling expansion that for the ground state is

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{2}(g)=-\left(s+\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(s+\frac{1}{2}\right)-\frac{3}{4}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_{2, n} g^{2 n}  \tag{3.17}\\
& q_{3}(g) \equiv 0  \tag{3.18}\\
& q_{4}(g)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_{4, n} g^{2 n}  \tag{3.19}\\
& q_{n}(g)=0, \quad n>4 \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Given the (unique polynomial) solution $Q(u)$ of the Baxter equation, the 3-loop anomalous dimension is given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{3}(s ; g)=2 i\left\{g^{2}(\log Q(u))_{u=\frac{i}{2}}^{\prime}+\frac{g^{4}}{4}(\log Q(u))_{u=\frac{i}{2}}^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{g^{6}}{48}(\log Q(u))_{u=\frac{i}{2}}^{\prime \prime \prime \prime \prime}+\mathcal{O}\left(g^{8}\right)\right\} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we exploited the parity invariance of $Q$. Notice that the above formula must be further expanded in $g^{2}$ since the polynomial $Q$ contains the coupling $g$.

We did not find an analytical formula for the higher Baxter polynomials $Q^{(k)}, k=2,3$. However, it is straightforward to compute them for each value of the spin $s$.

For example, at $s=2$ we find

$$
\begin{align*}
Q & =\frac{1}{4}\left(4 u^{2}-1\right)-\frac{5}{4} g^{2}+0 \cdot g^{4},  \tag{3.22}\\
\gamma_{3}(2) & =4 g^{2}-6 g^{4}+17 g^{6}+\cdots . \tag{3.23}
\end{align*}
$$

At $s=4$,

$$
\begin{align*}
Q & =\frac{1}{48}\left(48 u^{4}-72 u^{2}+11\right)-\frac{g^{2}}{24}\left(108 u^{2}-47\right)+\frac{g^{4}}{16}\left(36 u^{2}+53\right), \\
\gamma_{3}(4) & =6 g^{2}-\frac{39}{4} g^{4}+\frac{957}{32} g^{6}+\cdots \tag{3.24}
\end{align*}
$$

At $s=6$,

$$
\begin{align*}
Q= & \frac{1}{320}\left(320 u^{6}-1520 u^{4}+1292 u^{2}-153\right)-\frac{g^{2}}{800}\left(8400 u^{4}-17928 u^{2}+4357\right)+ \\
& +\frac{3 g^{4}}{64000}\left(178000 u^{4}+325448 u^{2}-359587\right) \\
\gamma_{3}(6)= & \frac{22}{3} g^{2}-\frac{443}{36} g^{4}+\frac{303115}{7776} g^{6}+\cdots \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.3 The proposed four loop anomalous dimension at twist-3

Using the methods described in the previous sections, we obtained the coefficients $\gamma_{3}^{(n)}(s)$ as rational numbers for even $s<70$, plus a transcendental term at four loop coming from the dressing to be discussed below, separately.

Is it possible to predict a closed formula for the coefficients as functions of $s$ ? Certainly, one needs some inspiration to convert the problem into a well-posed one. We propose the following claim guided by several numerical explorations:

Twist-3 transcendentality principle: The expression of $\gamma_{3}^{(n)}(s)$ is obtained as a sum of transcendentality $2 n-1$ (products of ) nested harmonic sums with positive indices and argument $s / 2$. For $n=4$ the same holds with the dressing contribution being $\zeta_{3}$ times a transcendentality 4 combination.

Counting the number of possible harmonic sums (see appendix (A)), one sees that this principle combined with the $s<70$ results fixes the three loop anomalous dimension. One finds the remarkably simple expressions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma_{3}^{(1)}=4 S_{1} \\
& \gamma_{3}^{(2)}=-2\left(S_{3}+2 S_{1} S_{2}\right)  \tag{3.26}\\
& \gamma_{3}^{(3)}=5 S_{5}+6 S_{2} S_{3}-8 S_{3,1,1}+4 S_{4,1}-4 S_{2,3}+S_{1}\left(4 S_{2}^{2}+2 S_{4}+8 S_{3,1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

with all $S_{a}$ functions are evaluated at the argument $s / 2$, i.e. half the (even) spin

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathbf{a}} \equiv S_{\mathbf{a}}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The argument $s / 2$ is not surprising as we know from the one-loop analysis.
At four loops, the anomalous dimension has two contributions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{3}^{(4)}=\gamma_{3}^{(4, \text { no dressing })}+\gamma_{3}^{(4, \text { dressing })} . \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The contribution from the dressing is included in the Bethe equations according to eq. (2.12) of [46]. The expression for $\gamma_{3, s}^{(4, \text { dressing })}$ is easily found by assuming that $\beta$ has transcendentality 3. The current Ansatz is $\beta=\zeta_{3}$. Applying our principle, one fixes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{3}^{(4, \text { dressing })}=-8 \beta S_{1} S_{3} . \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rational part $\gamma_{3}^{(4, \text { no dressing) })}$ cannot be fixed by the principle since the number of independent positively indexed with transcendentality 7 is 64 and we have only 34 spin values available. However, with some trial and error, we obtained the following simple formula

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma_{3, s}^{(4, \text { no dressing })}= & \frac{1}{2} S_{7}+7 S_{1,6}+15 S_{2,5}-5 S_{3,4}-29 S_{4,3}-21 S_{5,2}-5 S_{6,1}  \tag{3.30}\\
& -40 S_{1,1,5}-32 S_{1,2,4}+24 S_{1,3,3}+32 S_{1,4,2}-32 S_{2,1,4}+20 S_{2,2,3} \\
& +40 S_{2,3,2}+4 S_{2,4,1}+24 S_{3,1,3}+44 S_{3,2,2}+24 S_{3,3,1}+36 S_{4,1,2}+36 S_{4,2,1} \\
& +24 S_{5,1,1}+80 S_{1,1,1,4}-16 S_{1,1,3,2}+32 S_{1,1,4,1}-24 S_{1,2,2,2}+16 S_{1,2,3,1} \\
& -24 S_{1,3,1,2}-24 S_{1,3,2,1}-24 S_{1,4,1,1}-24 S_{2,1,2,2}+16 S_{2,1,3,1}-24 S_{2,2,1,2} \\
& -24 S_{2,2,2,1}-24 S_{2,3,1,1}-24 S_{3,1,1,2}-24 S_{3,1,2,1} \\
& -24 S_{3,2,1,1}-24 S_{4,1,1,1}-64 S_{1,1,1,3,1} .
\end{align*}
$$

This formula is minimal in the sense that it is the only solution with coefficients having 2 as the largest denominator. Notice that there are no solutions with integer coefficients. Eqs. (3.26), (3.29) and (3.30) are the main result of this paper.

Now, given the above formulas, one can give up the goal of obtaining $\gamma_{3}^{(n)}(s)$ as exact rational numbers. Working with a moderate precision it is possible to go quite further in the spin. We computed the coefficients $\gamma_{3}^{(n)}(s)$ with 200 digits accuracy up to $s=300$. The result can be compared with the guessed formulae above. The equality is perfect for all digits! This means that the proposed $\gamma_{3}^{(4)}$ is actually the only solution compatible with our twist-3 principle. Also, at three loops, one could exclude possible weaker forms of the principle admitting nested harmonic sums with some negative index.

In the next section, we shall test these expression in the large spin limit showing that they reproduce the four-loop universal cusp anomalous dimension.

### 3.4 The cusp anomalous dimension

The cusp anomalous dimension $\Gamma_{\text {cusp }}(g)$ is defined in the large $s$ limit of the minimal twist- $L$ anomalous dimension as

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma_{L}(s ; g) & =\Gamma_{\text {cusp }}(g) \log s+\text { subleading at } s \rightarrow \infty,  \tag{3.31}\\
\Gamma_{\text {cusp }}(g) & =\sum_{n \geq 1} \Gamma_{\text {cusp }}^{(n)} g^{2 n} . \tag{3.32}
\end{align*}
$$

It is expected to be twist-independent. Its all-loop perturbative expansion is generated by the Beisert, Eden, Staudacher equation [46]. We shall use it as a non-trivial check of our finite spin expressions. For completeness, we mention that $\Gamma_{\text {cusp }}(g)$ is quite an interesting object in the context of AdS/CFT duality. From the dual string theory, it is known at strong coupling at leading and next-to-leading order [61-63]. Checks of this strong coupling limit have been recently discussed in [64].

The large spin expansion of nested harmonic sums can be accomplished by the methods summarized in appendix (A). The calculation is straightforward for the 1, 2, 3 loop contributions. Using the results from appendix (A.4), we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Gamma_{\text {cusp }}^{(1)}=4  \tag{3.33}\\
& \Gamma_{\text {cusp }}^{(2)}=-4 S_{2}(\infty)  \tag{3.34}\\
& \Gamma_{\text {cusp }}^{(3)}=4 S_{2}^{2}(\infty)+2 S_{4}(\infty)+8 S_{3,1}(\infty) .
\end{align*}
$$

The exact values are

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2}(\infty)=\zeta_{2}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}, \quad S_{4}(\infty)=\zeta_{4}=\frac{\pi^{4}}{90}, \quad S_{3,1}(\infty)=\zeta_{4}+\frac{1}{10} \zeta_{2}^{2}=\frac{\pi^{4}}{72} . \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Collecting, we find the correct result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\text {cusp }}(g)=4 g^{2}-\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{3} g^{4}+\frac{11 \pi^{4}}{45} g^{6}+\mathcal{O}\left(g^{8}\right) . \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expansion of the four loop contribution needs some reshuffling. An alternative, equivalent form more suitable to study the large spin expansion turns out to be

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma_{3, s}^{(4, \text { no dressing })}= & \frac{8}{3}\left(5 S_{4}-4 S_{3,1}\right) S_{1}^{3}+4\left(5 S_{5}-10 S_{3,2}-14 S_{4,1}+16 S_{3,1,1}\right) S_{1}^{2}+\quad(3.37)  \tag{3.37}\\
& +\left(32 S_{3}^{2}+8 S_{2} S_{4}+47 S_{6}+88 S_{2} S_{3,1}-64 S_{4,2}+48 S_{5,1}-24 S_{2,2,2}\right. \\
& \left.-104 S_{2,3,1}+120 S_{4,1,1}-192 S_{3,1,1,1}\right) S_{1} \\
& -2 S_{3} S_{2}^{2}-S_{5} S_{2}-4 S_{3,2} S_{2}+60 S_{4,1} S_{2}-120 S_{3,1,1} S_{2} \\
& -\frac{289}{3} S_{3} S_{4}-\frac{189 S_{7}}{2}-\frac{256}{3} S_{3} S_{3,1}+136 S_{4,3}-24 S_{5,2}-32 S_{6,1}-64 S_{2,4,1} \\
& +64 S_{3,2,2}+80 S_{3,3,1}-80 S_{5,1,1}+128 S_{2,3,1,1}-128 S_{4,1,1,1}+256 S_{3,1,1,1,1} .
\end{align*}
$$

In this form, the techniques of appendix (A) are enough to expand at large $s$ the four loop anomalous dimension. Including the dressing term, the result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\text {cusp }}^{(4)}=-\frac{73 \pi^{6}}{630}+4 \zeta_{3}^{2}-8 \beta \zeta_{3} . \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the standard choice $\beta=\zeta_{3}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\text {cusp }}(g)=4 g^{2}-\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{3} g^{4}+\frac{11 \pi^{4}}{45} g^{6}-\left(\frac{73 \pi^{6}}{630}+4 \zeta_{3}^{2}\right) g^{8}+\cdots . \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

It agrees with the analytical prediction by Beisert, Eden and Staudacher 46] as well as with the independent numerical prediction of [47] from a remarkable four loop Feynman diagram computation.

Notice that eq. (3.38) comes from many contributions and requires several cancellations of higher powers of $\log s$. It tests rather severely our 4 loop proposed expression.

The next-to-leading contributions are also interesting and shall be discussed in a forthcoming publication 67]. Here, for completeness, we quote some of them. The expansion has the general form

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma & =\rho \log \bar{s}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s^{k}} \sum_{\ell=0}^{k} \rho_{k, \ell} \log ^{\ell} \bar{s},  \tag{3.40}\\
\bar{s} & =\frac{1}{2} s e^{\gamma_{E}} . \tag{3.41}
\end{align*}
$$

The first terms are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho & =4 g^{2}-\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{3} g^{4}+\frac{11 \pi^{4}}{45} g^{6}+\left(-\frac{73 \pi^{6}}{630}+4 \zeta_{3}^{2}-8 \beta \zeta_{3}\right) g^{8}+\cdots, \\
\rho_{00} & =-2 \zeta_{3} g^{4}+\left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{3} \zeta_{3}-\zeta_{5}\right) g^{6}+\text { constant } \cdot g^{8}+\cdots, \\
\rho_{10} & =4 g^{2}-\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{3} g^{4}+\left(\frac{11 \pi^{4}}{45}-4 \zeta_{3}\right) g^{6}+\left(-\frac{73 \pi^{6}}{630}+\frac{4 \pi^{2}}{3} \zeta_{3}+4 \zeta_{3}^{2}-8 \beta \zeta_{3}-2 \zeta_{5}\right) g^{8}+\cdots \\
\rho_{11} & =0 \cdot g^{2}+8 g^{4}-\frac{8 \pi^{2}}{3} g^{6}+\frac{6 \pi^{4}}{5} g^{8}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, we computed

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{22}=-8 g^{6}+4 \pi^{2} g^{8}+\cdots \\
& \rho_{33}=\frac{32}{3} g^{8}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

The coefficient of $\log s$, i.e. $\rho$ is precisely the cusp anomalous dimension.

## 4. Conclusions

In summary, we have considered twist-3 operators with spin $s$ in the $\mathfrak{s l}(2)$ sector of $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM built out of three scalar fields with derivatives. We have extracted from the Bethe Ansatz equations the exact lowest anomalous dimension $\gamma(s)$ of scaling fields for several values of even $s$. Here, exact means in analytical form as a known coefficient at each loop, up to the four loop level where wrapping problems invalidate the Bethe equations.

From these results, we have been able to provide closed expressions for the spin dependence of $\gamma(s)$ up to the four loop level and including the contributions from the dressing phase. The expressions satisfy a rather simple and new transcendentality principle extending at twist-3 the KLOV idea 27. As an application, we have computed the large $s$ limit of $\gamma(s)$ and checked that the four loop universal cusp anomalous dimension is reproduced.

The availability of the full spin dependence allows to test generic features of anomalous dimensions in conformally invariant planar field theories in the spirit of [65, 66]. More detailed results on this important topic will appear in a forthcoming publication 67].

From the point of view of integrability, it is very interesting to test the consequences of the complicated dressing phase eq. (2.11) at finite spin. Indeed, the only weak-coupling
test of of the conjectured dressing phase has been the calculation of the cusp anomalous dimension at infinite $s$. We consider a relevant result that the leading weak-coupling contribution from dressing nicely fits the twist-3 transcendentality principle at finite spin. From this point of view, the choice of twist-3 has been crucial to push wrapping effects beyond four loops where the dressing first appears.

As a final comment, we remark that twist-3 operators in QCD built out of quarks and gluon fields have a well-known phenomenological relevance in polarized DIS applications 7 , 68, 69]. Here, we have considered the scalar $\mathfrak{s l}(2)$ sector which is not necessarily related to the more QCD-like channels. However, we believe that the ideas presented in this paper will also be useful in other twist-3 sectors, possibly exploiting supersymmetry to partially connect different channels.

Note added. The calculation of the four loop anomalous dimension of twist-3 operators in the $\mathfrak{s l}(2)$ sector appeared independently and simultaneously in the paper [70 which also deals with the twist- 2 case where wrapping problems affect the 4 loop prediction. The agreement of the twist-3 results is complete.
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## A. Harmonic sums

We collect in this appendix some useful properties of (nested) Harmonic sums. General useful references can be found in 66].

## A. 1 Definition

For any integer $N$ and multi-index $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{k}$, we define recursively

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{a}(N) & =\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{n^{a}}  \tag{A.1}\\
S_{a \mathbf{X}}(N) & =\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{n^{a}} S_{\mathbf{X}}(n) . \tag{A.2}
\end{align*}
$$

In the following we shall need only the $a_{i}>0$ case.

## A. 2 Some shuffle algebra relations

The product of a simple $S_{a}$ and a nested sum $S_{\mathrm{b}}$ can be written

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{a} S_{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}}= & S_{a, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}}+S_{b_{1}, a, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k}}+\cdots+S_{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}, a}  \tag{A.3}\\
& -S_{a+b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}}-S_{b_{1}, a+b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k}}-\cdots-S_{b_{1}, \ldots, a+b_{k}}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a} S_{b}=S_{a b}+S_{b a}-S_{a+b} . \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

These relations can be used to reduce sums of the form $S_{a \cdots a}$. One finds for instance

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{a a} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(S_{a}^{2}+S_{2 a}\right)  \tag{A.5}\\
S_{a a a} & =\frac{1}{6}\left(S_{a}^{3}+3 S_{a} S_{2 a}+2 S_{3 a}\right),  \tag{A.6}\\
S_{a a a a} & =\frac{1}{24}\left(S_{a}^{4}+6 S_{a}^{2} S_{2 a}+3 S_{2 a}^{2}+8 S_{a} S_{3 a}+6 S_{4 a}\right) \tag{A.7}
\end{align*}
$$

## A. 3 Linear relations

Given a particular nested $\operatorname{sum} S_{\mathbf{a}}$, there are linear relations between all the sums

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathbf{a}^{\prime}}, \quad \mathbf{a}^{\prime}=\pi \mathbf{a}, \quad \pi=\text { permutation }, \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

involving also $S$ sums with a smaller number of indices. These linear relations are obtained from the equations (one for each permutation $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a_{1}^{\prime}} S_{a_{2}^{\prime}, a_{3}^{\prime}, a_{4}^{\prime}, \ldots}=\text { shuffle relation eq. A.3). } \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For instance, taking $\mathbf{a}=(1,1,3)$ we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{1} S_{1,3}=S_{1,1,3}+S_{1,1,3}+S_{1,3,1}-S_{2,3}-S_{1,4},  \tag{A.10}\\
& S_{1} S_{3,1}=S_{1,3,1}+S_{3,1,1}+S_{3,1,1}-S_{4,1}-S_{3,2},  \tag{A.11}\\
& S_{3} S_{1,1}=S_{3,1,1}+S_{1,3,1}+S_{1,1,3}-S_{4,1}-S_{1,4} . \tag{A.12}
\end{align*}
$$

They can be used to obtain two of the three 3-index sums in terms of the third.

## A. 4 Evaluation of $S_{\mathrm{a}}$ at $N=\infty$

We define the generalized, finite $N$, $\zeta$-functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{\mathbf{a}}(N)=\sum_{N \geq n_{1}>n_{2}>\cdots>n_{r}>0} \frac{1}{n_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots n_{r}^{a_{r}}} . \tag{A.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values of $Z$ at $N=\infty$ are the multiple zeta functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{\mathbf{a}}(\infty) \equiv \zeta_{\mathbf{a}} . \tag{A.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiple zeta functions can be reduced in terms of (known) elementary zeta functions. The relations between $Z$ and $S$-sums are trivial. For instance

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{a}(\infty) & =\zeta_{a},  \tag{A.15}\\
S_{a, b}(\infty) & =\zeta_{a, b}+\zeta_{a+b},  \tag{A.16}\\
S_{a, b, c}(\infty) & =\zeta_{a, b, c}+\zeta_{a+b, c}+\zeta_{a, b+c}+\zeta_{a+b+c} . \tag{A.17}
\end{align*}
$$

The general case is obtained by summing over all possible $\zeta_{\mathbf{a}}$ obtained by splitting the multi-index of $S$ in order-respecting groups and taking the sum within each group. For instance

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{a, b, c, d}(\infty)= & \zeta_{a, b, c, d}+\zeta_{a+b, c, d}+\zeta_{a, b+c, d}+\zeta_{a, b, c+d}+\zeta_{a+b, c+d}+ \\
& +\zeta_{a+b+c, d}+\zeta_{a, b+c+d}+\zeta_{a+b+c+d} \tag{A.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Some examples relevant to compute the three loop cusp anomalous dimension are the following. From

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta_{3,2} & =-\frac{11}{2} \zeta_{5}+3 \zeta_{2} \zeta_{3},  \tag{A.19}\\
\zeta_{3,1} & =\frac{1}{10} \zeta_{2}^{2},  \tag{A.20}\\
\zeta_{4,1} & =\zeta_{3,1,1}=2 \zeta_{5}-\zeta_{2} \zeta_{3}, \tag{A.21}
\end{align*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{3,2}(\infty) & =-\frac{9}{2} \zeta_{5}+3 \zeta_{2} \zeta_{3},  \tag{A.22}\\
S_{3,1}(\infty) & =\zeta_{4}+\frac{1}{10} \zeta_{2}^{2}=\frac{\pi^{4}}{72},  \tag{A.23}\\
S_{4,1}(\infty) & =3 \zeta_{5}-\zeta_{2} \zeta_{3},  \tag{A.24}\\
S_{3,1,1}(\infty) & =-\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{5}+\zeta_{2} \zeta_{3} . \tag{A.25}
\end{align*}
$$

## A. 5 Asymptotic expansions of harmonic sums with positive indices

We first define

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a}^{(p)}(N)=\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\log ^{p} n}{n^{a}} . \tag{A.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following expansions hold ( $B_{k}$ are Bernoulli's numbers)

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{1}(N)=\log N+\gamma_{E}+\frac{1}{2 N}-\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{B_{2 k}}{2 k N^{2 k}}  \tag{A.27}\\
& S_{a}(N)=\zeta_{a}+\frac{a-2 N-1}{2(a-1) N^{a}}-\frac{1}{(a-1)!} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(2 k+a-2)!B_{2 k}}{(2 k)!N^{2 k+a-1}}, \quad a \in \mathbb{N}, a>1 \tag{A.28}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking derivatives with respect to $a$ we obtain immediately expansions for $S_{a}^{(p)}(N)$.
Multiple (nested) sums $S_{\mathbf{a}}$ can be evaluated as follows. Let $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$. Suppose that the expansion of $S_{a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}}(N)$ is known. Its general form will always be

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}}=\sum_{p, q} c_{p, q} \frac{\log ^{p} N}{N^{q}} \tag{A.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing this expansion in

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathbf{a}}=\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{n^{a_{1}}} S_{a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}}(n), \tag{A.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathbf{a}}=\sum_{p, q} c_{p, q} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\log ^{p} n}{n^{a_{1}+q}} \tag{A.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Usually, this determines the expansion of the sum apart from the constant term. This is $S_{\mathbf{a}}(\infty)$ and can be evaluated by the methods discussed in the previous sections. Often, it is useful to reduce internal sums of the form $S_{a a a \ldots a}$ with the general formulae that we also discussed.

## A. 6 Counting fixed transcendentality terms

Let us consider a given index set $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ with $a_{i}>0$. The various $S_{\mathbf{a}^{\prime}}$ with $\mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ being a permutation of a are not all independent due to the shuffle-algebra relations. The number of independent sums is counted by the second Witt's formula. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{a}=(\underbrace{a, \cdots, a}_{n_{1}}, \underbrace{b \cdots b}_{n_{2}}, \cdots), \tag{A.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $n=n_{1}+n_{2}+\cdots+n_{N}$. The number of independent sums with this index set, up to permutations, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell(\mathbf{a}) \equiv \ell_{n}\left(n_{1}, \cdots, n_{N}\right)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{d \mid n_{i}} \mu(d) \frac{(n / d)!}{\left(n_{1} / d\right)!\cdots\left(n_{N} / d\right)!}, \tag{A.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the Möbius function $\mu(d)$ is

$$
\mu(d)= \begin{cases}1, & d=1  \tag{A.34}\\ 0, & d \text { contains the factor } p^{2} \text { with } p \text { prime }>1, \\ (-1)^{s}, & d \text { is the product of } s \text { distinct primes } p_{i}>1\end{cases}
$$

Up to transcendentality 7 , the cases that occur are (all different letters stand for different numbers)

$$
\begin{align*}
\ell(a) & =\ell_{1}(1)=1,  \tag{A.35}\\
\ell(\underbrace{a \cdots a}_{n>1}) & =\ell_{n}(n)=0 . \tag{A.36}
\end{align*}
$$

(This relation is well known since $S_{a a a \cdots a}$ can always be expressed in terms of product of smaller $S$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
\ell(\underbrace{a \cdots a}_{n \text { terms }} b) & =\ell_{n+1}(1, n)=\frac{1}{n} \frac{(n+1)!}{1!\cdot n!}=1,  \tag{A.37}\\
\ell(a a b b) & =\ell_{4}(2,2)=\frac{1}{4}\left[\mu(1) \frac{4!}{2!\cdot 2!}+\mu(2) \frac{2!}{1!\cdot 1!}\right]=1,  \tag{A.38}\\
\ell(a b c) & =\ell_{3}(1,1,1)=\frac{1}{3} \frac{3!}{1!\cdot 1!\cdot 1!}=2,  \tag{A.39}\\
\ell(a a a b b) & =\ell_{5}(2,3)=\frac{1}{5} \frac{5!}{2!\cdot 3!}=2,  \tag{A.40}\\
\ell(a a b c) & =\ell_{4}(2,1,1)=\frac{1}{4} \frac{4!}{2!\cdot 1!\cdot 1!}=3 . \tag{A.41}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, let us begin with transcendentality 1 . There is a single sum with multiplicity $\ell=1$
a: $\ell$
$1: 1$

Hence, the number of independent positive sums with transcendentality 1 is $b_{1}=1$.
At transcendentality 2 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{a} & : \ell \\
11 & : 0 \\
2 & : 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the number of independent positive sums with transcendentality 2 is $b_{2}=1$.
At transcendentality 3 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{a} & : \ell \\
111 & : 0 \\
12 & : 1 \\
3 & : 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the number of independent positive sums with transcendentality 3 is $b_{3}=2$. From the same table we can also count the number $N_{3}$ of (products of) simple sums with total transcendentality 3 . Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n, k}=\binom{n+k-1}{k} \tag{A.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the number of combinations of $n$ objects in groups of $k$ with repetitions. From the table, we read

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{3}=R_{b_{1}, 3}+b_{1} b_{2}+b_{3}=4 \tag{A.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

At transcendentality 4 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{a} & : \ell \\
1111 & : 0 \\
112 & : 1 \\
13 & : 1 \\
4 & : 1 \\
22 & : 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the number of independent positive sums with transcendentality 4 is $b_{4}=3$.

At transcendentality 5 we have

| $\mathbf{a}$ | $: \ell$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| 11111 | $: 0$ |
| 1112 | $: 1$ |
| 113 | $: 1$ |
| 14 | $: 1$ |
| 5 | $: 1$ |
| 122 | $: 1$ |
| 23 | $: 1$ |

Hence, the number of independent positive sums with transcendentality 5 is $b_{5}=6$. From the same table we can also count the number $N_{5}$ of (products of) simple sums with total transcendentality 5 . It is

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{5}=R_{b_{1}, 5}+R_{b_{1}, 3} b_{2}+R_{b_{1}, 2} b_{3}+b_{1} b_{4}+b_{5}+b_{1} R_{b_{2}, 2}+b_{2} b_{3}=16 . \tag{A.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

At transcendentality 6 we have

| $\mathbf{a}$ | $: \ell$ | $\mathbf{a}$ | $: \ell$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 111111 | $: 0$ | 1122 | $: 1$ |
| 11112 | $: 1$ | 123 | $: 2$ |
| 1113 | $: 1$ | 24 | $: 1$ |
| 114 | $: 1$ | 222 | $: 0$ |
| 15 | $: 1$ |  |  |
| 6 | $: 1$ |  |  |

Hence, the number of independent positive sums with transcendentality 6 is $b_{6}=9$.
At transcendentality 7 we have

| $\mathbf{a}$ | $: \ell$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1111111 | $: 0$ | $\mathbf{a}$ | $: \ell$ |
| 111112 | $: 1$ | $1123: 3$ |  |
| 11113 | $: 1$ | 124 | $: 2$ |
| 1114 | $: 1$ | 25 | $: 1$ |
| 115 | $: 1$ | $133: 1$ |  |
| 16 | $: 1$ | 34 | $: 1$ |
| 7 | $: 1$ | $223: 1$ |  |
| 11122 | $: 2$ | $1222: 1$ |  |

Hence, the number of independent positive sums with transcendentality 7 is $b_{7}=18$. The same counting as before, taking into account the non trivial $R_{b_{3}, 2}=R_{2,2}=3$ (i.e. aa, ab and bb) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{7}=64 . \tag{A.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have computed $N_{k}$ for the even and odd $k$ up to $k=11$ and checked that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{k}=2^{k-1} . \tag{А.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

The same counting can be done including sums with one or more negative indices. The number of sums $b_{k}^{ \pm}$for $k=1, \ldots, 7$ is now

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{k}^{ \pm}=2,3,8,18,48,116,312 \tag{A.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Evaluating $N_{k}^{ \pm}$, the general formula seems to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{k}^{ \pm}=2 \cdot 3^{k-1} \tag{A.50}
\end{equation*}
$$
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